HealthManagement
Promoting Management and Leadership

Collaboration Dynamics in Pharmaceutical Innovation

Pharmaceutical innovation often involves close collaboration between industry and academia to drive research and develop new therapeutic drugs. These partnerships capitalise on the strengths of academic institutions in discovery-based R&D while leveraging the commercial resources of pharmaceutical companies. However, this process is not without challenges. Issues such as moral hazard in academic effort and the complexities of drug development can significantly affect the outcomes of these collaborations. A recent study in the Journal of Health Economics explores the dynamics of pharmaceutical-academic partnerships, focusing on innovation strategies, evaluation processes, and the unique matching mechanisms that influence these collaborations.

Role of Interim Evaluation and Contracting

The need for interim project evaluation is a key element of pharmaceutical-academic partnerships. Drug development is lengthy and uncertain, so pharmaceutical companies typically evaluate ongoing projects at interim stages. This evaluation serves multiple purposes:

- monitoring academic progress,
- deciding whether to terminate projects with low prospects,
- managing incentives and payments to the academic partner.

Since the future commercial viability of the research is unclear at its early stages, this evaluation helps the firm avoid potential losses from failed projects by allowing timely project termination. Contracts between firms and academics are structured based on these evaluations, with the firm's innovation strategy, whether exploitative (focused on well-understood areas) or explorative (venturing into new territories), playing a significant role in shaping the evaluation structure.

Matching Dynamics and Market Equilibrium

A matching dynamic between firms of varying evaluation abilities and academics with different productivity levels characterises the pharmaceutical-academic partnership market. The equilibrium of this market depends on the firm's innovation strategy and ability to evaluate research progress. Firms with stronger evaluation abilities are better equipped to identify the potential success of projects and thus may prefer to collaborate with more productive academics. Conversely, in explorative projects where the likelihood of negative results is more challenging to predict, less capable firms may benefit more from collaborations with high-productivity academics who can reduce the chance of failure. This dynamic results in different matching patterns between firms and academics: positive assortative (where better firms are matched with better academics) or negative assortative (where better firms are paired with less productive academics).

Sensitivity vs. Specificity in Project Evaluation

In the context of exploitative projects (sensitivity case), pharmaceutical firms are primarily concerned with their ability to detect successful research outcomes. These firms are homogeneous in avoiding type II errors (falsely assuming failure) but vary in their capacity to make type I errors (falsely assuming success). Firms with better sensitivity are more likely to partner with high-productivity academics because they can better capitalise on the academics' increased probability of success. This leads to positive assortative matching, where superior firms collaborate with top academics.

On the other hand, in explorative projects (specificity case), the emphasis shifts to a firm's ability to avoid type II errors, as these firms are more likely to falsely identify a negative signal in the research. Firms with worse specificity face higher risks of failing to identify valuable outcomes.

Consequently, these firms stand to benefit more from collaborations with productive academics who can reduce the probability of failure. This results in negative assortative matching, where less capable firms are willing to pay more to secure collaborations with better academics to mitigate their evaluation shortcomings.

The interplay of firm strategies, evaluation abilities, and the productivity of academic partners shapes the dynamics of pharmaceutical-academic collaborations. The equilibrium matching outcome is highly dependent on the nature of the innovation—whether it is exploitative or explorative—and the firm's ability to evaluate research progress effectively. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for optimising collaboration outcomes and can provide valuable insights for firms seeking strategic partnerships with academia. The evaluation structure, incentive contracts, and equilibrium matching provide a framework for understanding these collaborations' complexities and potential benefits, offering implications for empirical research and policy-making in the pharmaceutical industry.

Source: **Journal of Health Economics**

Image Credit: **iStock**

Published on : Wed, 2 Oct 2024